

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/527,090	YUUKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Iqbal H. Chowdhury, Ph.D.	1652	

**All Participants:**

(1) Iqbal H. Chowdhury, Ph.D.

(2) Daniel A. Geselowitz

**Date of Interview:** 15 November 2006

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 (1) and 102

Claims discussed:

1-3, 7, 13, 16, 30

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*There was a discussion regarding 112<sup>st</sup> and 102 and 103 rejections and the examiner proposes some amendments to claims for a possible allowance by amending claims but there was no agreement.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Iqbal H. Chowdhury

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)